

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/559,704	04/27/2000	Michael D. Zoeckler	7137	2557
75	90 04/05/2004		EXAM	INER
STEVE M. McLARY, ESQ.			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
	CKAGING INTERNATION	NAL, INC.		
814 LIVINGSTON COURT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MARIETTA, GA 30067			3721	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/559,704	ZOECKLER, MICHAEL D.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christopher R Harmon	3721		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	arch 2004.			
2a)□	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7,9-16 and 25-34 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9-16 and 25-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c		
11) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Co	mmary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-3, 11-16, 25-29, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stone (US 5,551,938).

Stone discloses a method for forming carton blanks comprising advancing a web of paperboard 42 along a path; progressively applying, with an adhesive, at least one ribbon of reinforcing material 38 with a width less than the paperboard substantially overlying a selected panel portion; the paperboard is then cut into individual carton blanks and then are formed into cartons for receiving articles (see figures 1-3). Stone teaches manufacturing a paperboard carton with a reinforcing collar made of "either paperboard, thick paper... or flexible plastic." (column 4, lines 48-49). Therefore

3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9-11, 16, 25, 29-32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lang (US 5,147,480).

Lang discloses a method of making paperboard cartons comprising advancing a web of paperboard 48 to a laminator/reinforcer which applies at least one layer of material to the paperboard; see figures 3 and 4. The web is then cut/scored and then formed into cartons. Lang discloses printing on the reinforcing layers.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-7 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone (US 5,551,938) in view of Stokes (US 1,880,288).

Stone does not disclose a plurality of reinforcing ribbons, however Stokes teaches reinforcing carton blanks with ribbons t (see figures 1-1a). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Stokes in the invention to Stone in order to reinforce more than one side of the carton.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/2/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Stone teaches reinforcing substantially all of panel portion 58.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The teachings of Anderson '117 are considered relevant.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is 703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

EUGENE KIM

Jugar &